COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

THE TARIFF FILING OF SOUTH CENTRAL)
BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY TO ESTABLISH) CASE NO. 10218
MEGALINK CHANNEL SERVICE)

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that South Central Bell Telephone Company ("SCB") shall file an original and 12 copies of the following information with the Commission, with a copy to all parties of record. Each copy of the data requested should be placed in a bound volume with each item tabbed. When a number of sheets are required for an item, each sheet should be appropriately indexed, for example, Item 1(a), Sheet 2 of 6. Include with each response the name of the witness who will be responsible for responding to questions relating to the information provided. Careful attention should be given to copied material to insure that it is legible.

The information requested is due no later than July 8, 1988. If the information cannot be provided by this date, a motion for an extension of time must be submitted stating the reason for the delay and the date by which the information can be furnished. The Commission will give due consideration to such motions.

1. In Item 9 of the first Commission request for information, it is stated that "only a portion of the capital investment would be recovered in each contract period." For such MegaLink channel package and contract period, identify total

capital investment and the amount of capital investment recovered over the contract period.

- 2. In Item 14 of the first Commission request for information, reference is made to "interexchange configurations."
 - a. Does interexchange mean interLATA?
- b. If the answer to (a) above is affirmative, then can either LightGate or MegaLink services be configured with ESSX service in such a way as to generate incidental interLATA traffic without the use of interLATA carrier facilities or without compensation to interLATA carriers?
- c. If the answer to (b) above is affirmative, explain how the use of LightGate and MegaLink services as alternative serving vehicles for ESSX service is consistent with MFJ restrictions on SCB's provision of interLATA services.
- 3. With reference to Item 14 of the first Commission request for information, provide a schematic illustration of each service configuration identified.
- 4. With reference to Item 14 of the first Commission request for information, provide the analysis underlying the statement that "customers would generally need 12-15 channels between two central offices to find MLCS cost-effective."
- 5. a. Can LightGate or MegaLink services be configured in such a way as to avoid intraLATA toll charges?
- b. Can LightGate or MegaLink services be configured with ESSX service in such a way as to avoid intraLATA toll charges?

- c. If the answer to either (a) or (b) above is affirmative, explain and illustrate each such configuration.
- 6. Please reference Item 22(b) of the Commission's June 3, 1988 information request. As indicated in this question, the cost support provided contains the month to month rate plan only. As previously requested in Item 22(b)(ii), please provide cost support for the remaining rate plans. If in fact the costs for the remaining rate plans were derived from the month to month cost support, please review Item 22(b) and provide a corrected response.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 1st day of July, 1988.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Rose the Compission

ATTEST: